IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 581 OF 2004
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 41 OF 2004

Ashabi Sarvar Khan Pathan. ... Applicant.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra
and others. ... Respondents.

A.H.Ponda for the applicant.

U.V.Nikam, APP for respondent No.1- State.

V.A.Shastry for respondent No.2.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 5th October 2007.

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

2. By this application, the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 229 days in filing revision application, which is directed against the order dated 15th March, 2003 passed in Sessions Case No.21/2002 by Second Adhoc Additional Sessions Judge, Pune. The learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 brought to my notice order of this Court dated 20th January, 2006 passed in Criminal Appeal No.1147/2003 filed by

the State against the very same impugned order dated 15th March, 2003, whereby leave to appeal was refused and appeal came to be dismissed.

3. In the above view of the matter, no useful purpose would be survived by issuing notice to the respondents or condoning the delay in filing revision application. Even otherwise, there is no merit in the application. No substantive cause is shown for condonation of delay. Application is, therefore, rejected.

JUDGE